

Barcan Formulas and the Limits of Possibility

Adam Russell Murray (University of Manitoba)

LanCog: March 18th, 2022

1 Background

1. Barcan Formulas

BF. $\Diamond\exists v\varphi \supset \exists v\Diamond\varphi$

BFC. $\exists v\Diamond\varphi \supset \Diamond\exists v\varphi$

2. “Limits”

3. Counterexamples?

Aliens. $\Diamond\exists x@ \forall y(y \neq x)$ ¹

Absentees. $\exists x\Diamond\neg\exists y(y = x)$

4. An argument for necessitism from absolute generality

Con. $\Diamond\exists x\Diamond\neg\exists y(y = x)$

Nec. $\Box\forall x\Box\exists y(y = x)$

2 Revisionism

1. In logic:

- “Simple” qml (Carnap 1946; Marcus 1961): Quantification (at a world, in a model) over a common pool of *possibilia* D .
- Kripke 1963: Frames: $\langle W, D, w@, Q \rangle$; $Q : W \rightarrow D^n$ a domain function
- “Formally elegant; philosophically unsatisfying”: (Williamson 1998; Jones 2016)

2. In metaphysics:

- Being something vs. being something *concrete* (Linsky and Zalta 1996; Williamson 1998)
Concrete Aliens. $\Diamond\exists x(Cx \wedge @\forall y(Cy \rightarrow y \neq x))$
Non-concrete Absentees. $\exists x(@Cx \wedge \Diamond\neg\exists y(Cy \wedge y = x))$
- Essential vs. accidental property attribution (Hayaki 2006)
- *Concreteness* as essential
- Russell’s “robust sense of reality”

3 Relativity

1. A puzzle for necessitists:

- Necessarily, Obama is something. ($\Box\exists y(y = o)$)
- Possibly, Obama is never conceived. ($\Diamond\neg Co$)
- \therefore Possibly, Obama is something and is never conceived. (i, ii)
($\Diamond(\exists y(y = o) \wedge \neg Co)$)

2. Context–index pragmatics

- Kaplan (1977) and Lewis (1980) on *context* and *index*
 - “As actual” vs. “as counterfactual” (Davies and Humberstone 1980)
 - Target and source perspective
- Context-shift and our puzzlement re: (iii)

3. Necessitism:

- Nonexistence
 - Internal and external domains
 - BFC and *Absentees*
- Existence
 - Simchen (2013) on BF and *Aliens*
 - Perspectival shift: closing the gap

4. Last bits

- @-fundamentality; w -parity
- RBM on mere possibilia (Marcus 1985)
- The limits of possibility: *de dicto* and *de re*

¹ ‘@’ an actuality-operator: $@\varphi \leftrightarrow \varphi$; $\varphi \rightarrow \Box@\varphi$, etc.

4 Relativist semantics

- *Frames*: $\langle W, D, w_{@}, C \rangle$; $C : W \rightarrow D^n$ a “context” function; $C(w) ::= D(c_w)$; c_w a context “centered” upon w .
- *Models*: $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{I} \rangle$, \mathcal{F} a frame and \mathcal{I} such that:
 - $\mathcal{I}(F^n) ::= In_{F^n} : In_{F^n}(w, v) \subseteq D(c_w)$, for $w, v \in W$
 - $\mathcal{I}(\tau) ::= In_{\tau} : In_{\tau}(w, v) \in D(c_w)$
- *Indices* on a context c_w : $\langle v, g_w \rangle$; $v \in W$ and $g_w \in D(c_w)^\omega$ a variable assignment.
- Denotation at an index $i = \langle v, g_w \rangle$, in a context c_w :

$$|\tau_k|_i^{c_w} = \begin{cases} In_{\tau_k}(w, v), & \text{when } \tau_k \text{ a constant;} \\ g_w(k), & \text{when } \tau_k = x_k. \end{cases}$$

- Satisfaction at an index $i = \langle v, g_w \rangle$, in a context c_w :
 - $\vDash_i^{c_w} F^n(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ just if $\langle |\tau_1|_i^{c_w}, \dots, |\tau_n|_i^{c_w} \rangle \in In_{F^n}(w, v)$
 - $\vDash_i^{c_w} \tau_i = \tau_k$ just if $|\tau_i|_i^{c_w} = |\tau_k|_i^{c_w}$
 - $\vDash_i^{c_w} \neg\varphi$ just if $\not\vDash_i^{c_w} \varphi$
 - $\vDash_i^{c_w} \varphi \vee \psi$ just if $\vDash_i^{c_w} \varphi$ or $\vDash_i^{c_w} \psi$ (etc.)
 - $\vDash_i^{c_w} \forall x_k \varphi$ just if for all $i' \sim_k i$, $\vDash_{i'}^{c_w} \varphi$
 - $\vDash_i^{c_w} \Box\varphi$ just if for all $i' \sim_v i$, $\vDash_{i'}^{c_w} \varphi$
- Truth at a world; truth in a context; entailment, etc.:
 - At a world: $\vdash_v^{c_w} \varphi$ just if $\vDash_i^{c_w} \varphi$ when $w_i = v$
 - At a context: $\vdash^{c_w} \varphi$ just if $\vDash_{i_c}^{c_w} \varphi$; $i_c = \langle w, g_w \rangle$
 - *Simpliciter*: $\vdash \varphi$ just if $\vdash^{c_w} \varphi$ for all w
 - Entailment: $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \vdash \varphi$ just if $\vdash \varphi$ if $\vdash \gamma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_n$

References

- Carnap, Rudolf. 1946. “Modalities and Quantification.” *Journal of Symbolic Logic* 11:33–64.
- Davies, Martin and Humberstone, Lloyd. 1980. “Two Notions of Necessity.” *Philosophical Studies* 38:1–31.
- Hayaki, Reina. 2006. “Contingent Objects and the Barcan Formula.” *Erkenntnis* 64:75–83.
- Jones, Nicholas. 2016. “The Representational Limits of Possible Worlds Semantics.” *Philosophical Studies* 173:479–503.
- Kaplan, David. 1977. “Demonstratives.” In John Perry Joseph Almog and Howard Wettstein (eds.), *Themes From Kaplan*, 481–563. Oxford University Press; published 1989.
- Kripke, Saul A. 1963. “Semantical Considerations on Modal Logic.” *Acta Philosophica Fennica* 16:83–94.
- Lewis, David K. 1980. “Index, Context, and Content.” In Stig Kanger and Sven Öhman (eds.), *Philosophy and Grammar*, 79–100. Reidel.
- Linsky, Bernard and Zalta, Edward N. 1996. “In Defense of the Contingently Nonconcrete.” *Philosophical Studies* 84:283–294.
- Marcus, Ruth Barcan. 1961. “Modalities and Intensional Languages.” *Synthese* 13:303–322.
- . 1985. “Possibilia and Possible Worlds.” *Grazer Philosophische Studien* 25:107–133.
- Simchen, Ori. 2013. “The Barcan Formula in Metaphysics.” *Theoria: Revista de Teoría, Historia y Fundamentos de la Ciencia* 28:375–392.
- Williamson, Timothy. 1998. “Bare Possibilia.” *Erkenntnis* 48:257–73.